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***** Change #1 *****

12.2.new Handling of non-confirmable requests
RFC7252 explicitly notes that non-confirmable requests are appropriate in cases where reliability of the delivery of the request is not an issue. However, all requests that are sent as a result of a CRUDN operation (see clause 12.2.3) defined in this document should be sent as confirmable requests, and non-confirmable requests should not be used.

If a Client makes use of a non-confirmable message in a request, then the Client should realize the mechanism defined in RFC7252 clause 4.3 to reduce the possibility of message loss. Further, a Client that makes use of non-confirmable requests shall not depend on a response being provided for that request.

12.3.new Handling of non-confirmable requests
The requirements defined in clause 12.2.new with regard to non-confirmable requests do not apply to CoAP serialization over TCP as TCP itself is inherently reliable.

***** Change #2 *****

12.2.7 CRUDN to CoAP response codes
The mapping of CRUDN operations response codes to CoAP response codes are identical to the response codes defined in Error! Reference source not found..

A Client that receives a CoAP response with a response code of 5.03 that also includes a Max-Age option, should re-attempt the original request after waiting for a period of at least that provided in the Max-Age option.