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Introduction

This document, and all the other parts associated with this document, were developed in response
to worldwide demand for smart home focused Internet of Things (IoT) devices, such as appliances,
door locks, security cameras, sensors, and actuators; these to be modelled and securely controlled,
locally and remotely, over an IP network.

While some inter-device communication existed, no universal language had been developed for
the IoT. Device makers instead had to choose between disparate frameworks, limiting their market
share, or developing across multiple ecosystems, increasing their costs. The burden then falls on
end users to determine whether the products they want are compatible with the ecosystem they
bought into, or find ways to integrate their devices into their network, and try to solve interoperability
issues on their own.

In addition to the smart home, IoT deployments in commercial environments are hampered by a
lack of security. This issue can be avoided by having a secure IoT communication framework, which
this standard solves.

The goal of these documents is then to connect the next 25 billion devices for the IoT, providing
secure and reliable device discovery and connectivity across multiple OSs and platforms. There
are multiple proposals and forums driving different approaches, but no single solution addresses
the majority of key requirements. This document and the associated parts enable industry
consolidation around a common, secure, interoperable approach.

The OCF specification suite is made up of nineteen discrete documents, the documents fall into
logical groupings as described herein:

- Core framework
  - Core Specification
  - Security Specification
  - Onboarding Tool Specification
- Bridging framework and bridges
  - Bridging Specification
  - Resource to Alljoyn Interface Mapping Specification
  - OCF Resource to oneM2M Resource Mapping Specification
  - OCF Resource to BLE Mapping Specification
  - OCF Resource to EnOcean Mapping Specification
  - OCF Resource to LWM2M Mapping Specification
  - OCF Resource to UPlus Mapping Specification
  - OCF Resource to Zigbee Cluster Mapping Specification
  - OCF Resource to Z-Wave Mapping Specification
- Resource and Device models
  - Resource Type Specification
  - Device Specification
- Core framework extensions
  - Easy Setup Specification
  - Core Optional Specification
- OCF Cloud
  - Cloud API for Cloud Services Specification
  - Device to Cloud Services Specification
  - Cloud Security Specification
Bridging Specification

1 Scope

This document specifies a framework for translation between OCF Devices and other ecosystems, and specifies the behaviour of a Bridging Function that exposes servers in non-OCF ecosystem to OCF Clients and/or exposes OCF Servers to clients in non-OCF ecosystem. Translation rules per specific non-OCF ecosystem and per specific Device are left to other documents (OCF Resource to XXX Mapping Specification). This document provides generic requirements that apply unless overridden by a more specific document.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

https://www.iso.org/standard/53238.html
Latest version available at: https://openconnectivity.org/specs/OCF_Core_Specification.pdf

https://www.iso.org/standard/74239.html

JSON Schema Core, JSON Schema: core definitions and terminology, January 2013
http://json-schema.org/latest/json-schema-core.html

JSON Schema Validation, JSON Schema: interactive and non-interactive validation, January 2013
http://json-schema.org/latest/json-schema-validation.html

JSON Hyper-Schema, JSON Hyper-Schema: A Vocabulary for Hypermedia Annotation of JSON, October 2016
http://json-schema.org/latest/json-schema-hypermedia.html

OpenAPI Specification, Version 2.0
https://github.com/OAI/OpenAPI-Specification/blob/master/versions/2.0.md

3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms

3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO/IEC 30118-1:2018 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at https://www.iso.org/obp

3.1.1 Asymmetric Client Bridge

An asymmetric client bridge exposes another ecosystem clients into the OCF ecosystem as Virtual OCF Clients (3.1.13). This is equivalent to exposing OCF Servers into the other ecosystem. How
this is handled in each ecosystem is specified on a per ecosystem basis in other document (OCF Resource to XXX Mapping Specification).

3.1.2
Asymmetric Server Bridge
an asymmetric server bridge exposes another ecosystem servers into the OCF ecosystem as Virtual OCF Servers (3.1.15). How this is handled in each ecosystem is specified on a per ecosystem basis in other document (OCF Resource to XXX Mapping Specification).

3.1.3
Bridge
OCF Device that has a Device Type of "oic.d.bridge", provides information on the set of Virtual OCF Devices (3.1.14) that are resident on the same Bridge Platform.

3.1.4
Bridge Platform
Entity on which the Bridge (3.1.3) and Virtual OCF Devices (3.1.14) are resident

3.1.5
Bridged Client
logical entity that accesses data via a Bridged Protocol (3.1.7). For example, a ZigBee client is a Bridged Client

3.1.6
Bridged Device
Bridged Client (3.1.5) or Bridged Server (3.1.8).

3.1.7
Bridged Protocol
another protocol (e.g. ZigBee) that is being translated to or from OCF protocols

3.1.8
Bridged Server
logical entity that provides data via a Bridged Protocol (3.1.7), for example a ZigBee server is a Bridged Server. More than one Bridged Server can exist on the same Bridge Platform.

3.1.9
Bridging Function
Logic resident on the Bridge Platform (3.1.4) that performs protocol mapping between OCF and the Bridged Protocol (3.1.7); a Bridge Platform (3.1.4) may contain multiple Bridging Functions dependent on the number of Bridged Protocols (3.1.7) supported.

3.1.10
Symmetric, Asymmetric Bridging
in symmetric bridging, a bridge device exposes OCF Server(s) to another ecosystem and exposes other ecosystem’s server(s) to OCF. In asymmetric bridging, a bridge device exposes OCF Server(s) to another ecosystem or exposes another ecosystem’s server(s) to OCF, but not both.

3.1.11
Virtual Bridged Client
logical representation of an OCF Client, which an OCF Bridge Platform (3.1.4) exposes to Bridged Servers (3.1.8).

3.1.12
Virtual Bridged Server
logical representation of an OCF Server, which an OCF Bridge Platform (3.1.4) exposes to Bridged Clients (3.1.5).
3.1.13
Virtual OCF Client
logical representation of a Bridged Client (3.1.5), which an OCF Bridge Platform (3.1.4) exposes to OCF Servers.

3.1.14
Virtual OCF Device
Virtual OCF Client (3.1.13) or Virtual OCF Server (3.1.15).

3.1.15
Virtual OCF Server
logical representation of a Bridged Server (3.1.8), which an OCF Bridge Platform (3.1.4) exposes to OCF Clients.

3.2 Abbreviated terms

3.2.1
CRUDN
Create, Read, Update, Delete, and Notify

3.2.2
CSV
Comma separated value

4 Document conventions and organization

4.1 Conventions
In this document a number of terms, conditions, mechanisms, sequences, parameters, events, states, or similar terms are printed with the first letter of each word in uppercase and the rest lowercase (e.g., Network Architecture). Any lowercase uses of these words have the normal technical English meaning

4.2 Notation
In this document, features are described as required, recommended, allowed or DEPRECATED as follows:

Required (or shall or mandatory).

- These basic features shall be implemented to comply with OIC Core Architecture. The phrases "shall not", and "PROHIBITED" indicate behaviour that is prohibited, i.e. that if performed means the implementation is not in compliance.

Recommended (or should).

- These features add functionality supported by OIC Core Architecture and should be implemented. Recommended features take advantage of the capabilities OIC Core Architecture, usually without imposing major increase of complexity. Notice that for compliance testing, if a recommended feature is implemented, it shall meet the specified requirements to be in compliance with these guidelines. Some recommended features could become requirements in the future. The phrase "should not" indicates behaviour that is permitted but not recommended.

Allowed (or allowed).

- These features are neither required nor recommended by OIC Core Architecture, but if the feature is implemented, it shall meet the specified requirements to be in compliance with these guidelines.
– Conditionally allowed (CA) The definition or behaviour depends on a condition. If the specified condition is met, then the definition or behaviour is allowed, otherwise it is not allowed.

Conditionally required (CR)

– The definition or behaviour depends on a condition. If the specified condition is met, then the definition or behaviour is required. Otherwise the definition or behaviour is allowed as default unless specifically defined as not allowed.

DEPRECATED

– Although these features are still described in this document, they should not be implemented except for backward compatibility. The occurrence of a deprecated feature during operation of an implementation compliant with the current document has no effect on the implementation’s operation and does not produce any error conditions. Backward compatibility may require that a feature is implemented and functions as specified but it shall never be used by implementations compliant with this document.

Strings that are to be taken literally are enclosed in "double quotes".

Words that are emphasized are printed in italic.

5 Bridging framework in OCF

5.1 Translation between OCF and non-OCF ecosystem - primitive concept of Bridging

The details of Bridging may be implemented in many ways, for example, by using a Bridge Platform with an entity handler to interface directly to a Non-OCF device as shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1 - Server bridging to Non-OCF device](image)

On start-up the Bridge Platform runs the entity handlers which discover the non-OCF systems (e.g., Heart Rate Sensor Device) and create Resources for each Device or functionality discovered. The entity handler creates a Resource for each discovered Device or functionality and binds itself to that Resource. These Resources are made discoverable by the Bridge Platform.

Once the Resources are created and made discoverable, then the Client Device can discover these Resources and operate on them using the mechanisms described in ISO/IEC 30118-1:2018. The requests to a Resource on the Bridge Platform are then interpreted by the entity handler and forwarded to the non-OCF device using the protocol supported by the non-OCF device. The returned information from the non-OCF device is then mapped to the appropriate response for that Resource.

Current OCF Bridging architecture implements the entity handler in the form of VOD.

5.2 Bridge platform

This clause describes the functionality of a Bridge Platform; such a device is illustrated in Figure 2.
A Bridge Platform enables the representation of one or more Bridged Devices as Virtual OCF Devices (VODs) on the network and/or enables the representation of one or more OCF Devices as Virtual OCF Devices using another protocol on the network. The Bridged Devices themselves are out of the scope of this document. From the perspective of an OCF Device the only difference between a native OCF Device and a VOD is "oic.d.virtual" as a value of the "rt" Property in "/oic/d" of the VOD.

A Bridge Platform exposes a Bridge which is an OCF Device with a Device Type of "oic.d.bridge". This provides to an OCF Client an explicit indication that the discovered Device is performing a bridging function. This is useful for several reasons; 1) when establishing a home network, the Client can determine that the Bridge is reachable and functional when no bridged devices are present, 2) allows for specific actions to be performed on the Bridge considering the known functionality a Bridge supports, 3) allows for explicit discovery of all devices that are serving a bridging function which benefits trouble shooting and maintenance actions on behalf of a user. When such a Device is discovered the exposed Resources on the OCF Bridge Platform describe other devices. For example, as shown in Figure 3.
Figure 3 – Schematic overview of a Bridge Platform bridging non-OCF devices

It is expected that the Bridge Platform creates a set of Devices during the start-up of the Bridge Platform, these being the Bridge and any known VODs. The exposed set of VODs can change as Bridged Devices are added or removed from the Bridge Platform. The adding and removing of Bridged Devices is implementation dependent.

5.3 Symmetric vs. asymmetric bridging

There are two kinds of bridging: Symmetric, Asymmetric. In Symmetric Bridging, a Bridge Platform exposes OCF Server(s) to another ecosystem and exposes other ecosystem's server(s) to OCF. In Asymmetric Bridging, a Bridge Platform exposes OCF Server(s) to another ecosystem or exposes another ecosystem's server(s) to OCF, but not both. The former case is called an Asymmetric Server Bridge (see Figure 4), the latter case is called an Asymmetric Client Bridge (see Figure 5)
In Figure 4 each Bridged Server is exposed as a Virtual OCF Server to OCF side. These Virtual OCF Servers are same as normal OCF Servers except that they have additional rt value ("oic.d.virtual") for "/oic/d". The details of the Virtual Bridged Client are not in scope of this document.

Figure 5 shows that each access to the OCF Server is modelled as a Virtual OCF Client. Those accesses can be aggregated if their target OCF servers and access permissions are same, therefore a Virtual OCF Client can tackle multiple Bridged Clients.
5.4 General requirements

5.4.1 Requirements common to all Bridge Platforms

A VOD shall have a Device Type that contains "oic.d.virtual". This allows Bridge Platforms to determine if a device is already being translated when multiple Bridge Platforms are present or Clients to determine if corresponding Server is a VOD or not.

Each Bridged Device shall be exposed as a separate Virtual OCF Server or Client, with its own OCF Endpoint, and set of mandatory Resources (as defined in ISO/IEC 30118-1:2018 and ISO/IEC 30118-2:2018).

Discovery of a VOD is the same as for an ordinary OCF Device; that is the VOD shall respond to multicast discovery requests. This allows platform-specific, device-specific, and resource-specific fields to all be preserved across translation.

The Bridge Introspection Device Data (IDD) provides information for the Resources exposed by the Bridge only. Each VOD shall expose an instance of "oic.wk.introspection" which provides a URL to an IDD for the specific VOD.

5.4.2 Requirements specific to Symmetric Bridge Platforms

In addition to the requirements mentioned in 5.4.1, Symmetric Bridging shall satisfy following requirements.

The Bridge Platform shall check the protocol-independent UUID ("piid" in OCF) of each device and shall not advertise back into a Bridged Protocol a device originally seen via that Bridged Protocol. The Bridge Platform shall stop translating any Bridged Protocol device exposed in OCF via another Bridge Platform if the Bridge Platform sees the device via the Bridged Protocol. Similarly, the Bridge Platform shall not advertise an OCF Device back into OCF, and the Bridge Platform shall stop translating any OCF Device exposed in the Bridged Protocol via another Bridge Platform if the Bridge Platform sees the device via OCF. These require that the Bridge Platform can determine if a device is already being translated. A VOD shall be indicated on the OCF Security Domain with a Device Type of "oic.d.virtual". How a Bridge Platform determines if a device is already being translated on a non-OCF Security Domain is described in the bridged Protocol-specific document (OCF Resource to XXX Mapping specification).

The Bridge Platform shall detect duplicate VODs (with the same PIID: Permanent Immutable ID) present in a network and shall not create more than one corresponding Virtual Device as it translates those duplicate devices into another network.

5.5 VOD list

For maintenance purposes, the Bridge maintains a list of VODs. This list includes Virtual OCF Servers and Virtual OCF Clients created by the Bridge Platform and subsequently on-boarded, as specified in ISO/IEC 30118-2:2018. A single instance of the Resource Type that defines the VOD list (see clause 7.2) shall be exposed by the Bridge. Please refer to ISO/IEC 30118-2:2018 for detailed operational requirements for the VOD list.

5.6 Resource discovery

A Bridge Platform shall detect devices that arrive and leave the Bridged network or the OCF Security Domain. Where there is no pre-existing mechanism to reliably detect the arrival and departure of devices on a network, a Bridge Platform shall periodically poll the network to detect the arrival and departure of devices, for example using COAP multicast discovery (a multicast RETRIEVE of "/oic/res") in the case of Asymmetric Client Bridging. Bridge Platform implementations are encouraged to use a poll interval of 30 seconds plus or minus a random delay of a few seconds.
A Bridge Platform and any exposed VODs shall each respond to network discovery commands. The response to a RETRIEVE on "/oic/res" shall only include the devices that match the RETRIEVE request.

For example, if a Bridge exposes VODs for the fan and lights shown in Figure 3, and an OCF Client performs a discovery request with a content format of "application/vnd.ocf-cbor", there will be four discrete responses, one for the Bridge, one for the virtual fan Device, and two for the virtual light Devices. Note that what is returned is not in the JSON format but in a suitable encoding as defined in ISO/IEC 30118-1:2018.

Response from the Bridge:

```
{
  "anchor": "ocf://e61c3e6b-9c54-4b81-8ce5-f9039c1d04d9",
  "href": "/oic/res",
  "rel": "self",
  "rt": ["oic.wk.res"],
  "if": ["oic.if.ll", "oic.if.baseline"],
  "p": {"bn": 3},
  "eps": [{"ep": "coap://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:55555"},
    {"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:11111"}]
},
{
  "anchor": "ocf://e61c3e6b-9c54-4b81-8ce5-f9039c1d04d9",
  "href": "/oic/d",
  "rt": ["oic.wk.d", "oic.d.bridge"],
  "if": ["oic.if.r", "oic.if.baseline"],
  "p": {"bn": 3},
  "eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:11111"}]
},
{
  "anchor": "ocf://e61c3e6b-9c54-4b81-8ce5-f9039c1d04d9",
  "href": "/oic/p",
  "rt": ["oic.wk.p"],
  "if": ["oic.if.r", "oic.if.baseline"],
  "p": {"bn": 3},
  "eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:11111"}]
},
{
  "anchor": "ocf://e61c3e6b-9c54-4b81-8ce5-f9039c1d04d9",
  "href": "/oic/sec/doxm",
  "rt": ["oic.r.doxm"],
  "if": ["oic.if.baseline"],
  "p": {"bn": 1},
  "eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:11111"}]
},
{
  "anchor": "ocf://e61c3e6b-9c54-4b81-8ce5-f9039c1d04d9",
  "href": "/oic/sec/pstat",
  "rt": ["oic.r.pstat"],
  "if": ["oic.if.baseline"],
  "p": {"bn": 1},
  "eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:11111"}]
},
{
  "anchor": "ocf://e61c3e6b-9c54-4b81-8ce5-f9039c1d04d9",
  "href": "/oic/sec/cred",
  "rt": ["oic.r.cred"],
  "if": ["oic.if.baseline"],
  "p": {"bn": 1},
  "eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:11111"}]
}
```
Response from the Fan VOD:

```json
[
{
  "anchor": "ocf://e61c3e6b-9c54-4b81-8ce5-f9039c1d04d9",
  "href": "/oic/sect/ac12",
  "rt": ["oic.r.ac12"],
  "if": ["oic.if.baseline"],
  "p": {"bm": 1},
  "eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:11111"}]
},
{
  "anchor": "ocf://e61c3e6b-9c54-4b81-8ce5-f9039c1d04d9",
  "href": "/myIntrospection",
  "rt": ["oic.wk.introspection"],
  "if": ["oic.if.r", "oic.if.baseline"],
  "p": {"bm": 3},
  "eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:11111"}]
},
{
  "anchor": "ocf://e61c3e6b-9c54-4b81-8ce5-f9039c1d04d9",
  "href": "/myVodlist",
  "rt": ["oic.r.vodlist"],
  "if": ["oic.if.r", "oic.if.baseline"],
  "p": {"bm": 3},
  "eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:11111"}]
}
]
```
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Response from the first Light VOD:

```json
{
  "anchor": "oicf://88b7c7f0-4b51-4e0a-9faa-cfb439fd7f49",
  "href": "/oic/sec/doxmx",
  "rt": ["oic.r.doxmx"],
  "if": ["oic.if.baseline"],
  "p": {
    "bm": 1,
    "eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:22222"}],
  },
  "anchor": "oicf://88b7c7f0-4b51-4e0a-9faa-cfb439fd7f49",
  "href": "/oic/sec/pstat",
  "rt": ["oic.r.pstat"],
  "if": ["oic.if.baseline"],
  "p": {
    "bm": 1,
    "eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:22222"}],
  },
  "anchor": "oicf://88b7c7f0-4b51-4e0a-9faa-cfb439fd7f49",
  "href": "/oic/sec/cred",
  "rt": ["oic.r.cred"],
  "if": ["oic.if.baseline"],
  "p": {
    "bm": 1,
    "eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:22222"}],
  },
  "anchor": "oicf://88b7c7f0-4b51-4e0a-9faa-cfb439fd7f49",
  "href": "/oic/sec/ac12",
  "rt": ["oic.r.ac12"],
  "if": ["oic.if.baseline"],
  "p": {
    "bm": 1,
    "eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:22222"}],
  },
  "anchor": "oicf://88b7c7f0-4b51-4e0a-9faa-cfb439fd7f49",
  "href": "/oic/sec/myFanIntrospection",
  "rt": ["oic.wk.introspection"],
  "if": ["oic.if.r", "oic.if.baseline"],
  "p": {
    "bm": 3,
    "eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:22222"}],
  }
}
```
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"rt": ["oic.wk.p"],
"if": ["oic.if.r", "oic.if.baseline"],
"p": {"bm": 3},
"eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:33333"}],
"
"anchor": "oicf://dc70373c-1e8d-4fb3-962e-017eaa863989",
"href": "/myLight",
"rt": ["oic.r.switch.binary"],
"if": ["oic.if.a", "oic.if.baseline"],
"p": {"bm": 3},
"eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:33333"}],
"
"anchor": "oicf://dc70373c-1e8d-4fb3-962e-017eaa863989",
"href": "/oic/sec/doxm",
"rt": ["oic.r.doxm"],
"if": ["oic.if.baseline"],
"p": {"bm": 1},
"eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:33333"}],
"
"anchor": "oicf://dc70373c-1e8d-4fb3-962e-017eaa863989",
"href": "/oic/sec/pstat",
"rt": ["oic.r.pstat"],
"if": ["oic.if.baseline"],
"p": {"bm": 1},
"eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:33333"}],
"
"anchor": "oicf://dc70373c-1e8d-4fb3-962e-017eaa863989",
"href": "/oic/sec/cred",
"rt": ["oic.r.cred"],
"if": ["oic.if.baseline"],
"p": {"bm": 1},
"eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:33333"}],
"
"anchor": "oicf://dc70373c-1e8d-4fb3-962e-017eaa863989",
"href": "/oic/sec/acl2",
"rt": ["oic.r.acl2"],
"if": ["oic.if.baseline"],
"p": {"bm": 1},
"eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:33333"}],
"
"anchor": "oicf://dc70373c-1e8d-4fb3-962e-017eaa863989",
"href": "/myLightIntrospection",
"rt": ["oic.wk.introspection"],
"if": ["oic.if.r", "oic.if.baseline"],
"p": {"bm": 3},
"eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:33333"}]
}]

Response from the second Light VOD:
[

"anchor": "oicf://8202138e-aa22-452c-b512-9ebad02bef7c",
"href": "/oic/res",
"rt": ["oic.wk.res"],
"if": ["oic.wk.if1", "oic.if.baseline"],
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"p": {"bm": 3},
"eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:44444"}]
},
{ "anchor": "oicf://8202138e-aa22-452c-b512-9ebad02bef7c",
"href": "/oic/d",
"rt": ["oic.wk.d", "oic.d.light", "oic.d.virtual"],
"if": ["oic.if.r", "oic.if.baseline"],
"p": {"bm": 3},
"eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:44444"}]
},
{ "anchor": "oicf://8202138e-aa22-452c-b512-9ebad02bef7c",
"href": "/oic/p",
"rt": ["oic.wk.p"],
"if": ["oic.if.r", "oic.if.baseline"],
"p": {"bm": 3},
"eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:44444"}]
},
{ "anchor": "oicf://8202138e-aa22-452c-b512-9ebad02bef7c",
"href": "/oic/switch.binary",
"rt": ["oic.r.switch.binary"],
"if": ["oic.if.a", "oic.if.baseline"],
"p": {"bm": 3},
"eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:44444"}]
},
{ "anchor": "oicf://8202138e-aa22-452c-b512-9ebad02bef7c",
"href": "/oic/sec/doxm",
"rt": ["oic.r.doxm"],
"if": ["oic.if.baseline"],
"p": {"bm": 1},
"eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:44444"}]
},
{ "anchor": "oicf://8202138e-aa22-452c-b512-9ebad02bef7c",
"href": "/oic/sec/pstat",
"rt": ["oic.r.pstat"],
"if": ["oic.if.baseline"],
"p": {"bm": 1},
"eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:44444"}]
},
{ "anchor": "oicf://8202138e-aa22-452c-b512-9ebad02bef7c",
"href": "/oic/sec/cred",
"rt": ["oic.r.cred"],
"if": ["oic.if.baseline"],
"p": {"bm": 1},
"eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:44444"}]
},
{ "anchor": "oicf://8202138e-aa22-452c-b512-9ebad02bef7c",
"href": "/oic/sec/ac12",
"rt": ["oic.r.ac12"],
"if": ["oic.if.baseline"],
"p": {"bm": 1},
"eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:44444"}]
},
{ "anchor": "oicf://8202138e-aa22-452c-b512-9ebad02bef7c",
"href": "/myLightIntrospection"}
"rt": ["oic.wk.introspection"],
"if": ["oic.if.r", "oic.if.baseline"],
"p": {"bm": 3},
"eps": [{"ep": "coaps://[2001:db8:a::b1d4]:44444"}]
}

Figure 6 – /oic/res example responses

5.7 "Deep translation" vs. "on-the-fly"

When translating a service between a Bridged Protocol (e.g., AllJoyn) and OCF protocols, there are two possible types of translation. Bridge Platforms are expected to dedicate most of their logic to "deep translation" types of communication, in which data models used with the Bridged Protocol are mapped to the equivalent OCF Resource Types and vice-versa, in such a way that a compliant OCF Client or Bridged Client would be able to interact with the service without realising that a translation was made.

For example, clients on one side of a Bridge Platform may decide to represent an intensity as an 8-bit value between 0 and 255, whereas the devices on the other may have chosen to represent that as a floating-point number between 0.0 and 1.0. It's also possible that the procedure may require storing state in the Bridge Platform. Either way, the programming of such translation will require dedicated effort and study of the mechanisms on both sides. "Deep translation" per each Bridged Protocol is described in a separate document (OCF Resource to XX X Mapping specification).

The other type of translation, the "on-the-fly" or "one-to-one" translation, requires no prior knowledge of the device-specific schema in question on the part of the Bridge Platform. The burden is, instead, on one of the other participants in the communication, usually the client application. That stems from the fact that "on-the-fly" translation always produces Bridged Resource Types and OCF Resource Types as vendor extensions. "on-the-fly translation" is only supported by AllJoyn bridging (ISO/IEC 30118-6:2018).

5.8 Security

Please refer to ISO/IEC 30118-2:2018 for security specific requirements as they pertain to a Bridge Platform. These security requirements include both universal requirements applicable to all Bridged Protocols, and additional security requirements specific to each Bridged Protocol.

6 Device type definitions

The required Resource Types are listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device Name (informative)</th>
<th>Device Type (&quot;rt&quot;) (Normative)</th>
<th>Required Resource name</th>
<th>Required Resource Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>oic.d.bridge</td>
<td>VOD list</td>
<td>oic.r.vodlist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual Device</td>
<td>oic.d.virtual</td>
<td>Device</td>
<td>oic.wk.d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7 Resource type definitions

7.1 List of resource types

Table 2 lists the Resource Types defined in this document.
Table 2 – Alphabetical list of resource types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Friendly Name (informative)</th>
<th>Resource Type (rt)</th>
<th>Clause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VOD List</td>
<td>oic.r.vodlist</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.2 VOD list

7.2.1 Introduction

This Resource describes the VODs that have been onboarded on the Bridge Platform.

7.2.2 Example URI

/VODListResURI

7.2.3 Resource type

The Resource Type is defined as: "oic.r.vodlist".

7.2.4 OpenAPI 2.0 definition

```json
{
  "swagger": "2.0",
  "info": {
    "title": "VOD List",
    "version": "2019-05-16",
    "license": {
      "name": "OCF Data Model License",
      "url": "https://github.com/openconnectivityfoundation/core/blob/e28a9e0a92e17042ba3e83661e4c0fbce8bd4ba/LICENSE.md",
      "x-copyright": "Copyright 2019 Open Connectivity Foundation, Inc. All rights reserved."}
  },
  "termsOfService": "https://openconnectivityfoundation.github.io/core/DISCLAIMER.md"
},
"schemes": ["http"],
"consumes": ["application/json"],
"produces": ["application/json"],
"paths": {
  "/VODListResURI" : {
    "get": {
      "description": "This Resource describes the VODs that have been onboarded on the Bridge Platform.

      This Resource describes the VODs that have been onboarded on the Bridge Platform."
    }
    "parameters": ["$ref": "/#/parameters/interface"
    ],
    "responses": {
      "200": {
        "description": "Example response payload",
        "x-example": {
          "rt": ["oic.r.vodlist"],
          "vods": ["Smoke sensor",
                    "Thermostat"
          ]
        }
      }
    }
  }
  "/VODListResURI" : {
    "get": {
      "description": "This Resource describes the VODs that have been onboarded on the Bridge Platform.

      This Resource describes the VODs that have been onboarded on the Bridge Platform."
    }
    "parameters": ["$ref": "/#/parameters/interface"
    ],
    "responses": {
      "200": {
        "description": "Example response payload",
        "x-example": {
          "rt": ["oic.r.vodlist"],
          "vods": ["Smoke sensor",
                    "Thermostat"
          ]
        }
      }
    }
  }
```
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"parameters": {
  "interface": {
    "in": "query",
    "name": "if",
    "type": "string",
    "enum": ["oic.if.r", "oic.if.baseline"]
  }
},
"definitions": {
  "vodentry": {
    "description": "Information for a VOD created by the Bridge",
    "type": "object",
    "properties": {
      "n": {
        "$ref": "https://openconnectivityfoundation.github.io/core/schemas/oic.common.properties.core-schema.json#/definitions/n"
      },
      "di": {
        "$ref": "https://openconnectivityfoundation.github.io/core/schemas/oic.types-schema.json#/definitions/uuid"
      },
      "econame": {
        "description": "Ecosystem Name of the Bridged Device which is exposed by this VOD",
        "type": "string",
        "enum": ["BLE", "oneM2M", "UPlus", "Zigbee", "Z-Wave", "EnOcean", "AllJoyn", "LWM2M" ],
        "readOnly": true
      }},
      "required": ["n", "di", "econame"]
    }
  },
  "vodlist": {
    "type": "object",
    "properties": {
      "n": {
        "$ref": "https://openconnectivityfoundation.github.io/core/schemas/oic.common.properties.core-schema.json#/definitions/n"
      },
      "rt": {
        "description": "Resource Type",
        "items": {
          "maxLength": 64,
          "type": "string",
          "enum": ["oic.r.vodlist"]
        },
        "minItems": 1,
        "uniqueItems": true,
        "readOnly": true,
        "type": "array"
      },
      "id": {
        "$ref": "https://openconnectivityfoundation.github.io/core/schemas/oic.common.properties.core-schema.json#/definitions/id"
      },
      "if": {
        "description": "The OCF Interface set supported by this Resource",
        "items": {
          "enum": ["oic.if.baseline", "oic.if.r"]
        },
        "type": "string"
      }
    }
  }
}
7.2.5 Property definition
Table 3 defines the Properties that are part of the "oic.r.vodlist" Resource Type.

Table 3 – The Property definitions of the Resource with type "rt" = "oic.r.vodlist".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property name</th>
<th>Value type</th>
<th>Mandatory</th>
<th>Access mode</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>if</td>
<td>array: see schema</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Read Only</td>
<td>The OCF Interface set supported by this Resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vods</td>
<td>array: see schema</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Read Only</td>
<td>Array of information per VOD created by the Bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td>multiple types: see schema</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Read Write</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>multiple types: see schema</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Read Write</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rt</td>
<td>array: see schema</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Read Only</td>
<td>Resource Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>econame</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Read Only</td>
<td>Ecosystem Name of the Bridged Device which is exposed by this VOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>multiple types: see schema</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Read Write</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>di</td>
<td>multiple types: see schema</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Read Write</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.2.6 CRUDN behaviour
Table 4 defines the CRUDN operations that are supported on the "oic.r.vodlist" Resource Type.

Table 4 – The CRUDN operations of the Resource with type "rt" = "oic.r.vodlist".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Create</th>
<th>Read</th>
<th>Update</th>
<th>Delete</th>
<th>Notify</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>get</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>observe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>